

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

<b>IN RE: TAXOTERE (DOCETAXEL)</b>	)	<b>MDL No. 2740</b>
<b>PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION</b>	)	
	)	<b>SECTION: "H" (5)</b>
	)	
<b>THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:</b>	)	
<b>ALL ACTIONS</b>	)	

**PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 105**  
**(SHORT FORM COMPLAINT ALLEGATIONS AND AMENDMENTS–**  
**STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ORDER)**

Considering many Plaintiffs have begun amending, or intend to amend, their individual Short Form Complaints in response to this Court's rulings on recent motions seeking to amend pleadings as they relate to statute of limitations (*In re Taxotere (Docetaxel) Prods. Liab. Litig.*, MDL No. 16-2740, 2019 WL 2995897 (E.D. La. July 9, 2009); Rec. Doc. 8702, 8703), the Court hereby Orders as follows:

**1. Applicability of Order.** This Order applies to all Plaintiffs in MDL No. 2740 who intend to amend their Short Form Complaints in light of the Court's rulings related to statute of limitations.

It does not apply to amendments of pleadings related to CMO 12A/product identification.

**2. Short Form Complaint Allegations and Amendments – Statute of Limitations - Permitted.** Within the terms set forth in this Order, Plaintiffs may amend their complaints to add factual allegations regarding particularized facts individual and specific to each Plaintiff's medical care and treatment and/or that Plaintiff's communications with medical professionals.

Nothing in this Order obviates the Court's prior orders in PTO Nos. 15, 73, and 37A, directing Plaintiffs to make use of the Court-approved and agreed Short Form Complaint,<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The parties will provide the Court with an amended exemplar Short Form Complaint that references the current version of the Master Complaint, i.e., the Second Amended Master Long Form Complaint (Doc. 4407).

“tailor[ing] the form to correspond to each Plaintiff’s claims/allegations” (Rec Doc. 1682, at \*1) in lieu of a long format original complaint. (Rec. Doc. 230, at \*2.)

**3. Conferral Still Required.** Nothing in this Order relieves Plaintiffs of the conferral requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 and Pretrial Order No. 37A, or of the obligation to indicate whether Amendments to each Plaintiff’s Short Form Complaint are opposed or unopposed.

**4. Deadline to Amend.** In cases on file as of the date of this Order, amendments pursuant to this Order must be accomplished within forty-five (45) days of this Order for bellwether pool Plaintiffs or within one hundred and eighty (180) days of this Order for non-bellwether pool Plaintiffs. In addition, this Order shall also govern Short Form Complaints and Amended Short Form Complaints in cases first filed after the date of this Order. In cases first filed after the date of this Order, the allegations described in Paragraph 2 should be included in the Original Short Form Complaints, or in an amendment within sixty (60) days of lawsuit filing.

**5. Defendants’ Motion to Strike.** With this Order, the Court denies without prejudice the Sanofi Defendants’ Motion to Strike (Rec. Doc. 8934) which can be re-filed in the event any Short Form Complaints contain allegations the Defendants believe are inconsistent with this Order following expiration of the timeframes set forth herein.

New Orleans, Louisiana, this 11th day of May, 2020.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. JANE T. MILAZZO  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE